

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/810,065	03/26/2004	William Blake Kolb	55752US019	3514	
75	11/10/2005		EXAM	INER	
Brian E. Szymanski			RINEHART, KENNETH		
	ectual Property Counsel	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
3M Innovative Properties Company P.O. Box 33427				3749	
St. Paul, MN			2/4/	_	

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/810,065	KOLB, WILLIAM BLAKE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth B. Rinehart	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a build	ما اسمعتما الترب	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	put prior to the date of filing a prier,	wiii <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	insideration and/or search (see NO	i E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amondment	(1 TOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after ei	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: IDS 10/14/05. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 3749

Examination on the merits has ended. It should be kept in mind that applicant cannot, as a matter of right, amend any finally rejected claims or add new claims after a final rejection (37 CFR 1.116). In the response the applicant has amended claim 1 to overcome the prior art of record. The applicant has added the limitations "web" and "passing form an unwind reel to a takeup reel" which would require further consideration or search. Additionally, the applicant has failed to provide good and sufficient reasons why the amendment is necessary and was not earlier introduced (37 CFR 1.116(b)). Therefore, the amendment will not be entered.

KENNETH RINGHART PRIMARY EXAMINER